96022

## Report on the Experience of Lesbian and Gay Law Students in the New York Metropolitan Area Law Schools

Prepared by the Committee on Lesbians and Gay Men in the Legal Profession Association of the Bar of the City of New York

#### Introduction

In 1990, the Association of the Bar of the City of New York established the Special Committee on Lesbians and Gay Men in the Legal Profession ("the Committee") to identify and help eliminate the barriers that lesbians and gay men face in the practice of law in the New York metropolitan area. The Committee's first report, published in 1993, provided an overview of the experience of gay and lesbian attorneys in the metropolitan area, and made recommendations to legal employers concerning steps that can be taken to eliminate sexual orientation bias in the workplace. The Committee recently completed its second report, which discussed the treatment of lesbian and gay attorneys and litigants in the New York State courts.

This report documents the law school experience of lesbians and gay men who are students in New York metropolitan area law schools, and who responded to a survey drafted by the Committee.<sup>2</sup> The report, in conjunction with the Committee's previous studies, shows the continuing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Preliminary Report on the Experience of Lesbians and Gay Men in the Legal Profession, The Record, Vol. 48, No. 7 (Nov. 1993) (hereafter "Preliminary Report").

The Report is based on some 69 completed questionnaires from students at 10 New York area law schools. Forty-six respondents (67%) were men and 23 respondents (33%) were women. Fifteen of the women defined themselves as lesbians and 4 of the women defined themselves as bisexuals. All of the male respondents defined themselves as gay. Twenty-eight of the respondents (40%) were 25 to 30 years of age, 16 respondents (23%) were 22 to 25 years old, 15 respondents (22%) were 30 to 40 years of age, 8 respondents (11%) were over 40 years old and 2 respondents (3%) were less than 22 years old. Fifty-three respondents were white/Caucasian, 6 were Latino/Latina, 4 were African American, 4 were Asian American, 1 was Native American, and 1 was other (not specified). Respondents included 20 first year day students, 16 second year day students, 20 third year day students, 5 first year evening students, 1

need for education and action to address inequality and discrimination against lesbian and gay individuals in the legal environment.

The questionnaire which provides the basis for this report was distributed to law students in metropolitan area law schools during the 1993-94 academic year.<sup>3</sup> A complete copy of the questionnaire is included in the appendix. Although we were not able to distribute the questionnaire to every student at local law schools, we believe that the responses reflect the range of experiences and problems encountered by lesbians and gay men who apply to and enroll in law schools in the metropolitan area. We hope that this report will provide a basis for future action and discussion within the legal and academic communities.

#### I. The Application Process

Many of the students surveyed believed they were initially welcomed into law schools. Nearly a third believed that self-identification as gay or lesbian on their law school application had a positive impact on their acceptance. Thirty-eight per cent indicated that during the application process, statements were made by school representatives which specifically encouraged gay and lesbian applicants. This "encouragement" included mention of a school's gay and lesbian student organization or its non-discrimination policy, and recruitment phone calls from gay students.

second year evening student, 2 third year evening students, 3 fourth year evening students, and 2 LLM candidates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In all, some 500 questionnaires were distributed in the following manner. Copies of the survey were distributed at a September 1993 social event for law students held at New York University Law School sponsored by the Lesbian and Gay Law Association of Greater New York ("LeGaL") attended by approximately 100 students. (LeGaL is a professional association of the lesbian and gay legal community in the New York metropolitan area with well over 500 members. Law student membership of LeGaL is approximately 15% or about 75 members.) In addition, lesbians and gay men who were known to the Committee members were asked to distribute copies of the questionnaires to other gay students at their schools.

Comments indicated that some students chose to apply to schools in the New York City area because of the City's reputation as a friendly place for lesbians and gay men. Some students chose not to apply or to refuse acceptance to schools that did not include sexual orientation in their anti-discrimination policy, or that had a reputation of intolerance towards lesbian and gay students. The refusal of the school to fund a lesbian and gay student organization was one factor identified by students as an indication of intolerance.

Most of the survey respondents believed that law schools should do more to encourage gay and lesbian applicants. Eighty-one per cent felt that law schools should make an effort to recruit lesbian and gay students. Only 16% of the students indicated that they were aware of active recruitment of gay and lesbian law students by their law schools. Even among the minority of students who felt that no efforts to recruit should be made, there was sentiment that schools "should make efforts to assure that the administration is not hostile ... [to gay and lesbian students], i.e., that complaints of harassment etc. will be treated seriously."

#### II. Impact of Sexual Orientation on Ability to Succeed in Law School

Many students felt that their law school experience would be more constructive if the school took affirmative steps to welcome lesbians and gay men. 40% of all first year students believed that their sexual orientation would have an impact on their ability to succeed in law school. The same conclusion was reached by 35% of the second year survey respondents, and 24% of the third and fourth (part-time) years.

Students indicated that being lesbian or gay can have a negative impact for both those who are openly gay or lesbian ("out"), and for those who are not open about their sexual orientation ("closeted"). Those in the closet experienced stress relating to their efforts to conceal their identity,

which, in some cases, impacted on their law school performance and their enjoyment of their law school experience. For example, one student commented that sexual orientation affects the ability to succeed in law school "to the extent that being furtive about who you date, or why you don't date, makes you miserable." For some students who were out, their efforts to combat discriminatory attitudes and actions (homophobia) took time away from law school studies.

Many students, regardless of whether they were out or closeted, believed that opportunities to participate in law school activities were restricted because of their sexual orientation. One student said sexual orientation "impedes my ability to network with others and take an active role in the majority of campus activities and organizations which are comprised of homophobic people." The majority of students had not brought a same sex partner or date to a law school event. One student stated that if he did bring such a date, "I would be afraid of being bashed in a school newspaper, as was permitted in previous years." Another student noted that she did not belong to any study groups because of her sexual orientation. Responses indicated that some students, who were out, felt that other gay and lesbian students and gay faculty, who were not as public about their identity, avoided them.

Student comments suggest that examples of overt heterosexism and homophobia exist at local law schools.<sup>4</sup> For many students, the most blatant example of heterosexism in law school was the different treatment of gay and lesbian people with respect to various benefits. Many students commented that spousal/family insurance, housing, and gym privileges were not available to their same sex partners. Another indicia of heterosexism reported by the students was the fact that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Heterosexism was defined in the survey as "the notion that the heterosexual lifestyle is inherently preferable and is the norm by which other lifestyles are judged."

hypothetical and exam questions rarely included lesbian and gay people. At one school, there was a report of belittlement of gay and lesbian students by a professor and, at another, a student performed "Law Revue" sketch was blatantly homophobic. Finally, students reported that informational materials posted at school concerning gay issues or events had been defaced or torn down.

The survey results also suggest that men and women experience different barriers, may have distinct concerns and may perceive bias in law schools in different ways. For example, a greater percentage of male than female respondents were aware of incidents of anti-gay or lesbian violence. In addition a higher percentage of men than women reported that they were counseled by school officials to conceal their sexual orientation.

Women seemed to believe that there was more institutional bias in the application process. While 43% of the male respondents felt that gay and lesbian applicants specifically were encouraged to apply, only 26% of the women expressed the same opinion. Thirty-five per cent of the women felt that there was heterosexism in professors' hypothetical and exam questions, while only 15% of the men so believed. In addition, 43% of the woman felt that their textbooks were heterosexist, while only 22% of the men shared this perception. In addition, a larger percentage of women than men felt that discrimination against them was compounded by factors other than sexual orientation. We cannot identify the reasons for the different responses based on gender. However, they do point out the need for sensitivity to the different experiences encountered by lesbians and gay men in law school. Any efforts to address these problems must take into account not only sexual orientation, but other diversity factors such as gender, race and ethnic origin.

Finally, it is important to highlight the comments which suggest that lesbian or gay sexual orientation can have a positive impact on success in school. Students involved in a network of other gay and lesbian law students explained that they drew both emotional and academic support from this involvement. Other comments suggested that the experience of having to come to terms with their sexual orientation gave lesbian and gay students the strength and the ability to handle complex life situations.

#### III. Lesbian and Gay Law Student Groups

All but one student indicated that they were aware of lesbian and gay student groups at their law school. Nearly all of the students stated that the group at their school was officially recognized, received student bar association or other school related funding, met at school, had a bulletin board, posted notices in the school, sponsored programs and events and had a mailbox. Most of the students also indicated that these groups had a law professor or staff person serving formally or informally as a liaison or advisor. In addition, almost all of the students stated that there was a place where announcements could be posted about lesbian or gay issues or organizations. Though student groups undoubtedly increase gay and lesbian students' level of comfort in law school, it is apparent from the survey results that the creation of such organizations is not sufficient, by themselves, to address all of the problems and discriminatory treatment encountered by lesbian and gay law students.

# IV. Law Students' Perception of Sexual Orientation's Impact on Ability to Succeed Professionally

Based on the foregoing, it is not surprising that the law school environment leads students to believe that their sexual orientation will effect their ability to succeed professionally. The survey showed that 68% of first year students believed this, while 84% of the third and fourth year students

so believed. Some students indicated that sexual orientation would have an effect both on where they decided to practice law and the type of law they would practice. Some students planned to focus their practice on gay and lesbian clients and others commented that they perceived government and public interest to be a friendly environment.

Many students reported that they were counseled by their placement office that it would be more difficult for them to find employment if they were "out" during the job search. Of note, 44% of third and fourth year students responded that they were comfortable being open during their search for post law school employment. 47% of the second year students and 36% of the first year students indicated that they would be comfortable being open in their employment search. One factor which may account for this statistical difference is that a higher percentage of second, third and fourth year students were at schools that barred employers who discriminated on the basis of sexual orientation. Thus, it would seem that law schools which bar such employers send a message to students that it is valuable to be open about their orientation and encourage students to believe that they will find employment in a non-discriminatory work environment. However, students' comments indicated that even when placement offices barred employers that discriminate on the basis of sexual orientation (20% of the students reported that their school had such a ban), the policy is not absolute. For example, one student commented that his/her school prohibited the Judge Advocate General's Office (JAG) from recruiting on campus, but sponsored an "informational" dinner for JAG.

In addition to enacting policies regarding law school recruiting, students noted that their schools had taken other steps to recognize lesbian and gay issues and to create a supportive environment. Such steps included sponsoring a conference on lesbians and gays in the law, including a gay panelist in a symposia on life after law school, creating a fellowship on lesbian and gay law, and

honoring a student and professor for meritorious achievement and community service. Twenty percent of the students knew that their school sponsored events relating to lesbian and gay students. Many students reported that their school either had or was forming a lesbian and gay alumni association.

#### Recommendations

1. Encourage students to include relevant information about sexual orientation or lesbian/gay activities in their application to law school.

This sends the message to students from the beginning of their law school experience that sexual orientation is not a barrier to advancement within the law school setting. Schools should state in brochures and recruitment materials that they do not discriminate based on sexual orientation. Students should be encouraged to include involvement in lesbian/gay college or community organizations in the portion of their application which lists personal achievements or volunteer activity.

Recruitment or informational brochures should mention the existence of any lesbian/gay student organizations. If the recruitment materials feature profiles of faculty, an effort should be made to include openly lesbian or gay professors.

2. Schools should make an effort to hire openly gay faculty and encourage gay and lesbian professors, staff and administrators to be open about their sexual orientation.

64% of the students felt that lesbian or gay professors, administrators or staff have a responsibility to identify as lesbian or gay and serve as mentors to lesbian and gay students. 86% of the survey respondents felt that law schools should make an effort to hire openly gay and lesbian people and 84% were aware of such efforts at their schools. We do not know if such efforts existed

at all local law schools during the period surveyed. However, even if schools were seeking openly gay faculty members, our survey results suggest that there is a need for better communication between the law school administration and the lesbian and gay student population. Schools also should publicize their non-discrimination policy, which would help create an environment in which students and employees feel they can be open about their identity.

### 3. Schools should take other steps to provide lesbian and gay professional role models for students.

Examples of such efforts include encouraging the formation of lesbian and gay alumni networks whose members could serve as role models for current law students; publicizing the existence of the Lesbian and Gay Law Association; informing students about the work of this Committee and other bar groups concerned with diversity issues; inviting speakers from this Committee or other groups to discuss the post law school experiences of lesbian and gay attorneys; inviting openly lesbian and gay legal professionals to participate in school panels, moot court competitions and other school events; and honoring the achievements of lesbian and gay faculty, students and alumni.

#### 4. Legal curricula should address lesbian and gay legal issues.

This includes not only courses on lesbian and gay issues, but inclusion of these concerns in other relevant courses such as employment law, family law and estates and trust law. Hypothetical and examination questions should include lesbian and gay issues.

5. Comparable benefits and privileges should be extended to the domestic partners and families of lesbian and gay students to the same extent that such benefits are extended to the spouses and families of heterosexual students.

Some schools provide married student or family housing, family insurance benefits or provide families access to law school services and facilities. These same benefits should be made available to lesbian and gay students who are in committed same sex relationships.

6. Complaint processes should be established to address incidents of discrimination, heterosexism and homophobia.

Schools also should provide training to the individuals handling these discrimination complaints to ensure that they are sensitive to the relevant issues. Schools should not assume that individuals are familiar with the complexity of lesbian and gay discrimination merely because they may handle other types of discrimination complaints.

7. The creation and maintenance of lesbian and gay law student organizations should be encouraged.

Schools should provide equal funding to these organizations and should ensure that their existence and activities are publicized. Law schools should also provide secure bulletin boards or other spaces where notices about lesbian and gay events can be posted.

8. Placement offices should insure that services offered to students are not affected by discriminatory attitudes.

Though it is true that employment discrimination against lesbians and gay men exists in the legal field,<sup>5</sup> it is important to recognize that placement officers should be careful as to how this fact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Preliminary Report.

is expressed to students. The information should be presented with sensitivity so that gay and lesbian students understand that their sexual orientation is not a defect, but rather that it is an unfortunate fact that homophobia exists within the legal profession. Placement officials should be prepared to advise students about the ramifications of being out or being closeted on a resume and should be sensitive to the fact that it is sometimes an advantage to be out on a resume. One student's comments indicated that if she had followed the Placement Office's advice and not included gay and lesbian activities on her resume, she would neither have secured a stipend nor received numerous internship offers.

Finally, placement officials should be aware that some students may choose to be open about their sexual orientation regardless of the impact of the decision on the students' job possibilities. Some students may not be interested in working for an employer who would discriminate against lesbian and gay applicants or who does not provide equal benefits for its gay attorneys.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Law schools play a critical role in shaping students' attitudes and future careers. Schools must take an active role in ensuring that lesbian and gay applicants and students feel welcome and are not subjected to discriminatory treatment. Law schools should encourage students, professors, staff and administrators to be open about their sexual orientation and should enact and enforce non-discrimination policies which protect these individuals. Schools should support their lesbian and gay student groups and work with them to create an atmosphere of tolerance, equality and acceptance. We believe that such action will not only improve the lives of lesbian and gay law students and law school employees, but ultimately will have a positive impact on the legal profession.

February 1996

## Committee on Lesbians and Gay Men in the Legal Profession

Rosalyn Richter, Committee Chair\*

Carol Buell
Norris Case
Andrew Chapin
Jacqueline C. Charleswo

Jacqueline C. Charlesworth Carmen Corrales\*\*\* Kathleen Conkey\*\*\* Elizabeth C. Cunningham Jacqueline Deane

Betty Weinberg Ellerin

Faith Gay

Fredric Hannan Goldstein

Susan M. Halatyn James Edward Hough Linda S. Kagan
Aubrey Lees
Donald Maher
Charles McFaul
Tomas Medina\*\*
Matthew Moore
Karen Moulding
Eric Rosenbaum
Peter Sherwin
Terry Smith
John Stackhouse
Allison Lee Turkel
James Williams\*\*

James Williams\*\*
Marc Wolinsky

<sup>\*</sup> Robert LaMont was co-chair of this committee until January 1996.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Co-chairs of the subcommittee that conducted this survey and prepared the report. The committee expresses its thanks to them for their work on this report even after their departure from the committee in June 1995.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Members of the subcommittee that conducted the survey. Ms. Corrales left the committee in 1995.

#### **APPENDIX**

The Association of the Bar of the City of New York Special Committee on Lesbians and Gay Men in the Legal Profession

### SURVEY ON EMPLOYMENT POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Please circle the appropriate letter.

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
GROUND INFORMATION		
Are you:		
a) Male	151	65.94%
o) Female	78	34.06%
c) Not Answered	0	0.0%
How do you define your sexual orienta	tion or sexual preference	e?
a) Gay	147	64.19%
) Lesbian	69	30.13%
e) Bisexual	7	3.06%
d) Heterosexual	6	2.62%
e) Not Answered	0	0.0%
How old are you:		
a) under 30 years old	39	17.03%
	145	63.32%
	38	16.59%
•	7	3.06%
	0	0.0%
) Not Answered	0	0.0%
	Are you:  (i) Male (ii) Female (iii) Not Answered  How do you define your sexual oriental (iiii) Gay (iiii) Lesbian (iiii) Bisexual (iiii) Heterosexual (iiii) Hot Answered  How old are you: (i) under 30 years old (iiiii) 30-40 years old (iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	Are you:  Are yo

4.	Are	you:		
	a)	White	212	95.58%
	b)	African-American	7	3.06%
	c)	Latino/a	5	2.18%
	ď)	Asian	0	0.0%
	e)	Other		1.31%
	f)	Not Answered	3 2	.87%
5.	Whe	ere do you work:		
	a)	Firm	114	49.78%
	b)	Governmental agency	25	10.92%
	c)	Public interest/nonprofit	35	15.28%
	d)	Corporate in-house	17	7.42%
	e)	Court system	4	1.75%
	f)	Law school	15	6.55%
	g)	Other	18	7.86%
	h)	Not answered	1	0.44%
6.	How	many attorneys work in your wo	orkplace?	
	a)	1-10	71	31.00%
	b)	11-50	55	24.02%
	c)	51-100	29	12.66%
	d)	101-200	14	6.11%
	e)	Over 200	59	25.76%
	f)	Not answered	1	0.44%
7.	Are	you a:		
	a)	Staff attorney/associate	114	49.78%
	b)	Partner	25	10.92%
	c)	Director of organization,		
		agency, office	4	1.75%
	d)	Supervisory attorney	19	8.30%
	e)	Support staff	14	6.11%
	f)	Self-employed	18	7.86%
	g)	Other	32	13.97%
	h)	Not answered	3	1.31%

8.	How long	have you	worked in	the legal	profession?

a)	0-2 years	34	14.85%
b)	3-5	57	24.89%
c)	6-10	72	31.44%
d)	11-20	57	24.89%
e)	Over 20 years	8	3.49%
ń	Not answered	1	0 44%

#### YOUR CURRENT WORKPLACE'S HIRING AND RECRUITMENT PROCESS

9. Was there anything listed on your resume from which someone could conclude your sexual orientation or sexual preference e.g., work for gay and lesbian social or political organizations, membership in gay and lesbian bar association?

a)	Yes	50	21.83%
b)	No	159	69.43%
c)	Indirectly	9	3.93%
d)	Not answered	11	4.80%

10. At any time during the hiring process, did an interviewer or employer's representative ever make statements or pursue lines of inquiry which had or could have had the effect of *excluding* gay and lesbian applicants?

a)	Yes	11	4.80%
b)	No	201	87.77%
c)	Not answered	17	7.42%

11. At any time during the hiring process, did an interviewer or employer's representative ever make statements or pursue lines of inquiry which had or could have had the effect of *including* gay and lesbian applicants?

a)	Yes	33	14.41%
b)	No	175	76.42%
c)	Not answered	21	9.17%

12.	Are you aware of any effort on your employer's part actively to recruit lesbian or gay
	attorneys?

a)	Yes	34	14.85%
<b>b</b> )	No	166	72.49%
c)	Don't Know	12	5.24%
d)	Not answered	17	7.42%

#### VISIBILITY IN THE WORKPLACE

13. Who is aware of your sexual orientation or sexual preference at your job?

a)	Most people	134	58.52%
b)	A limited number of people	70	30.57%
c)	No one	11	4.80%
d)	Don't know	8	3.49%
e)	Not answered	6	2.62%

14. If you are not open about your sexual orientation or sexual preference, what best describes your reasons for not being so (circle all applicable):

a)	Fear of adverse		
	professional consequences	58	25.33%
b)	Fear of negative personal	,	
	reactions	46	20.09%
c)	Prefer not to share inform-		
	ation about personal life	57	24.89%
d)	Other	10	4.37%

15. If you are aware of negative attitudes or discriminatory treatment regarding sexual orientation or sexual preference, does it relate to (circle all applicable):

a)	Hiring	24	10.48%
b)	Promotions	33	14.41%
c)	Assignments	25	10.92%
d)	Evaluations	21	9.17%
e)	Other	48	20.96%

16.	Are you aware of discriminatory attitudes or treatment from clients or others who work with your office regarding gay and lesbian attorneys or lesbians and gays generally?				
	a)	Yes	80	34.93%	
	b)	No	135	58.95%	
	c)	Not answered	14	6.11%	
17.		Are you aware of discriminatory attitudes or treatment from judges regarding gay and lesbian attorneys or lesbians and gays generally?			
	a)	Yes	35	15.28%	
	b)	No	161	70.31%	
	c)	Not answered	33	14.41%	
18.		you aware of discriminatory attitude and lesbian attorneys or lesbians and		rt personnel regarding	
	a)	Yes	39	17.03%	
	b)	No	160	69.87%	
	c)	Not answered	30	13.10%	
19.	If you were ever discriminated against at your firm on the basis of sexual orientation or sexual preference, what did you do? (circle all applicable)				
	a)	Report it to a superior	20	8.73%	
	b)	Speak to a co-worker	22	9.61%	
	c)	Speak to someone outside			
		the workplace	21	9.17%	
	d)	Take no action	12	5.24%	
	e)	Quit	7	3.06%	
	f)	Other	11	4.80%	

20.	What would you do if a co-worker was the subject of anti-gay or lesbian discrimination
	in the work-place? (circle all applicable)

a)	Report it to a superior	138	60.26%
b)	Speak to a co-worker	121	52.84%
c)	Speak to someone outside		
	the workplace	82	35.81%
d)	Take no action	5	2.18%
e)	Quit	7	3.06%
f)	Other	43	18.78%

21. How has the management responded to reported incidents of discriminatory remarks or treatment of lesbians and gays? (circle all applicable)

a)	Formal investigation and/		
	or discipline	8	3.49%
b)	Informal investigation	,	
	and/or warning	25	10.92%
c)	No action	16	6.99%
d)	Not aware of any incidents	142	62.01%
e)	Other	8	3.49%

22. Have you ever been asked by anyone in the workplace to conceal your sexual orientation or sexual preference from co-workers?

a)	Yes	23	10.04%
b)	No	191	83.41%
c)	Not answered	15	6.55%

23. Do you fee that the office dress code or conventions e.g., earrings, jewelry, accessories, dresses or skirts only, if any, inhibit your freedom to express your gay or lesbian identity?

a)	Yes	22	9.61%
b)	No	182	79.48%
c)	Not answered	25	10.92%

24.	Have you felt welcome to invite your lover or domestic partner to office events open to spouses or opposite sex partners?				
	a)	Yes	115	50.22%	
	b)	No	77	33.62%	
•	c)	Not answered	37	16.16%	
25.	Do you feel comfortable displaying photographs of your lover or domestic partner in your office?				
	a)	Yes	115	50.22%	
	b)	No	85	37.12%	
	c)	Not answered	29	12.66%	
26.	Do you feel comfortable displaying posters or other indicia of involvement in gay and lesbian issues or organizations in your office?				
	a)	Yes	98	42.79%	
	b)	No	116	50.66%	
	c)	Not answered	15	6.55%	
27.	Are	you aware of other gays and/or le	sbians at your job?		
	a)	Yes	189	82.53%	
	b)	No	35	15.28%	
	c)	Not answered	5	2.18%	
28.	If ye	es, are they:			
	a)	Partners	66	28.82%	
	b)	Directors of organization,			
	•	agency, office	25	10.92%	
	c)	Middle management	55	24.02%	
	d)	Associates/staff attorneys	130	56.77%	
	e)	Support staff member	112	48.91%	
	f)	Other	32	13.97%	

29. Do you believe that your sexual orientation or sexual preference affects your ability to succeed in your profession in any respect?

a)	Yes	124	54.15%
b)	No	87	37.99%
c)	Not answered	18	7.86%

30. Do you believe that any discrimination that you have experienced is compounded by other factors, e.g. race, gender, HIV-status?

a)	Yes	57	24.89%
b)	No	115	50.22%
c)	Not answered	57	24.89%

31. Do you believe that your sexual orientation or sexual preference affects your relationship with clients in any respect?

a)	Yes	80	34.93%
b)	No	121	52.84%
c)	Not answered	28	12.23%

#### **EMPLOYMENT POLICIES**

32. Does your workplace include explicit prohibition in its formal policies and procedures of discrimination based on the following categories (circle all applicable):

a)	Race	155	67.69%
b)	Sex	153	66.81%
c)	Sexual orientation or		
	sexual preference	119	51.97%
d)	Marital status	112	48.91%
e)	Religion	146	63.76%
f)	Creed	126	55.02%
g)	Color	138	60.26%
h)	Disability	119	51.97%
i)	HIV-status	39	17.03%

33.	Does your workplace conduct educational training programs and/or provide employees
	with written guidelines to educate all employees about sexual orientation or sexual
	preference issues, including HIV-related issues?

a)	Yes	. 37	16.16%
b)	No	150	65.50%
- c)	Has been proposed	9	3.93%
d)	Don't know	15	6.55%
e)	Not answered	18	7.86%

34. Does your workplace have a formal grievance and disciplinary policy which includes responding to and redressing incidents of anti-lesbian and gay bias?

a)	Yes	55	24.02%
b)	No	106	46.29%
c)	Has been proposed	5	2.18%
d)	Don't know	45	19.65%
e)	Not answered	18	7.86%

35. If your workplace provides health care benefits to spouses, does your workplace provide health benefits to the domestic partners of lesbian and gay employees and to the children of the domestic partner?

a)	Yes	. 6	2.62%
b)	No	156	68.12%
c)	Has been proposed	25	10.92%
d)	Don't know	19	8.30%
e)	Not answered	23	10.04%

36. Does your workplace extend bereavement leave to the domestic partners of lesbian and gay employees and to the children of the domestic partners?

a)	Yes	63	27.51%
b)	No	66	28.82%
c)	Has been proposed	7	3.06%
d)	Don't know	66	28.82%

37.	Are your	workplace's	parental	leave	policies	and	part-time	policies	accommodating
	parenting	gender-neutra	վ?						

a)	Yes	93	40.61%
b)	No	39	17.03%
c)	Has been proposed	3	1.31%
d)	Don't know	73	31.88%
e)	Not answered	21	9.17%

38. Are your workplace's parental leave policies and part-time policies accommodating parenting dependent on the biological relationship between the parent and the child?

a)	Yes	. 26	11.35%
b)	No	74	32.31%
c)	Has been proposed	_ 1	0.44%
d)	Don't know	106	46.29%
e)	Not answered	22	9.61%

39. Are your workplace's policies regarding relocation benefits or other employee assistance programs which extend benefits to employees and their families (such as drug and alcohol counseling) extended to include domestic partners and non-biological children?

a)	Yes	8	3.49%
b)	No	84	36.68%
c)	Has been proposed	4	1.75%
d)	Don't know	92	40.17%
e)	Not answered	41	17.90%

40.	Does your workplace support civic and charitable activities of concern to gay and lesbian
	employees (such as work with GMHC, Lambda Legal Defense), including pro bono
	work?

a)	Yes, extensively	51	22.27%
b)	Yes, to a certain degree	93	40.61%
c)	No, not at all	22	9.61%
d)	No	28	12.23%
e)	Don't know	22	9.61%
f)	Not answered	13	5.68%

41. Has your workplace adopted express goals and timetables for the hiring and promotion of gays and lesbians?

a)	Yes	5	2.18%
b)	No	172	75.11%
c)	Has been proposed	4	1.75%
d)	Don't know	29	12.66%
e)	Not answered	19	8.30%

#### PRIOR WORKPLACE EXPERIENCE WITHIN THE PAST FIVE YEARS IN NYC

42. Did you experience any discriminatory treatment in a prior workplace within the last five years as a result of being identified as gay or lesbian or perceived to be gay or lesbian?

a)	Yes	33	14.41%
b)	No	137	59.83%
c)	Not answered	59	25.76%

43. Were you aware of negative attitudes or discriminatory treatment of gay and lesbian attorneys or gays and lesbians generally within your workplace within the past five years?

a)	Yes	74	32.31%
b)	No	94	41.05%
c)	Not answered	61	26.64%